

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/730,795	12/09/2003	Denis Reibel	331.1052	2686	
23280 75	90 10/06/2006		EXAM	INER	
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC			TENTON	TENTONI, LEO B	
NEW YORK, 1	AVENUE, 14TH FLOOI NY 10018	K	331.1052 EXAMINER TENTONI, LEO B	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1732		
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/730,795	REIBEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leo B. Tentoni	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUI 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed NONTHS from the mailing date of this coe ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 J	uly 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•—) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	i.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected of drawing(s) be held in abey tion is required if the drawi	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in rity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/730,795 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

 Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Talley, Jr. et al (U.S. Patent 6,767,498 B1), Wagner et al (U.S. Patent 6,838,043 B1) or Dugan

Application/Control Number: 10/730,795

Art Unit: 1732

et al (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0062658 A1), in combination with Kato (U.S. Patent 4,908,176 A).

Page 3

Talley, Jr. et al (see the entire document, in particular, col. 14, lines 29-41; col. 16, lines 18-23; col. 16, line 60 to col. 17, line 11), Wagner et al (see the entire document, in particular, col. 1, lines 18-36; col. 2, lines 24-36) and Dugan et al (see the entire document, in particular, paragraph [0063]) teach a process of making fabric from yarns, fibers or filaments as claimed, except that none of these references explicitly teaches compressing a fabric to a density of at least 10% of a density of a first polymer, which is taught by Kato (see the entire document, in particular, col. 2, lines 49-59; col. 2, line 63 to col. 3, line 14) and such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in the process of either Talley et al, Wagner et al or Dugan et al in view of Kato principally in order to manufacture a desired fabric (e.g., ensure bonding of the yarns, fibers or filaments which form the fabric).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1732

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina A. Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,795

Art Unit: 1732

Leo B. Tentoni

Page 5

Leo B. Tentoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

lbt